Q3.2.1 Air Quality

Has a risk assessment been made on the airborne particles during construction in particular the installation of the mounting rigs? If it a requirement of HSE that the operators where respiratory masks how far potentially could the airborne particles travel based upon wind direction etc? Consideration needs also to be taken with footpaths / roads are adjacent works etc.

Q3.8.1 Health Assessment

Whilst this action is vital in to understanding any effects on population of the area my question adds to this being:-Currently the applicant appears to be low balling or ignoring the population landscape. An explanation / prediction of what will be the population landscape during the total period of the project.

Naturally this can only be a negative effect so therefore what provisions are being provided to minimise the negative effects throughout the total period?

Looking at developments on this scale (in other countries) they are positioned away from populated areas therefore omitting any concerns.

Q3.6.3 Noise, unbelievable stance of the applicant, to take away the right of accountability of noise constraints - if they wish to proceed in this manner what will be the noise levels, naturally this is a complex calculation inc wind direction etc. Removing our voice is totally unacceptable and shows no regards for the population being affected by the project. Q3.10.1 Fire

Thermal runaway fires basically burn themselves out with water as a cooling agent. Naturally the water used will be contaminated by this process. My question is how the applicant is going to ensure the contaminated water is going to be contained thus not allowing water causes / river / land to become contaminated? Has the environmental agency been engaged on this point?

Q3.14.1 Flooding, recent events (post examination) has resulted in major breaches of water causeways resulting in the land flooding, access roads closed and damage to property as a result. The current flood risk assessment does this require supporting works to ensure the flood risk is minimised (naturally the draining of the land is undermined by the solar panels) - should an additional review be undertaken?